Direct Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Observation of Me_2Mg and MeMgBr in a Diethyl Ether Solution of Methylmagnesium Bromide

By E. C. ASHBY,* GEORGE PARRIS, and FRANK WALKER

(School of Chemistry, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332)

Summary 100 MHz n.m.r. spectra of dimethylmagnesium, methylmagnesium bromide, and a mixture of dimethylmagnesium and methylmagnesium bromide from +30 to -105° reveal the presence of MeMgBr and Me₂Mg species in diethyl ether solution of the Grignard reagent.

INFORMATION concerning the representation of Grignard compounds in tetrahydrofuran by the Schlenk equilibrium has been obtained by i.r.1 Unfortunately all previous efforts to obtain comparable information for Grignard compounds in diethyl ether solution by i.r. or n.m.r. have failed,¹⁻³ since it is not possible to distinguish between the n.m.r. and i.r. signals of many Grignard compounds and those of the corresponding R₂Mg compounds.

We report for the first time the observation of separate



FIGURE. Low-temperature 100 MHz n.m.r. spectra of A, dimethylmagnesium; B, methylmagnesium bromide; and C, 1:1 mixture of A and B.

signals for RMgX and $\mathrm{R_2Mg}$ for a Grignard compound in diethyl ether by 100MHz n.m.r. at low temperature. Structural information has been obtained which supports the representation of the Grignard reagent by the Schlenk equilibrium (Equation 1) and distinguishes both bridging and terminal alkyl groups in the R₂Mg species present.

$$R_2Mg + MgX_2 \rightleftharpoons 2 RMgX$$
 (1)

In the spectra of Me₂Mg (Figure 1, A), a low field (τ 11·32) signal is resolved at relatively high temperature (-80°) and at lower temperature (-100°) it is possible to resolve the high-field signal into two separate signals (τ 11.69, 11.74). We tentatively assign these three signals to bridging, terminal, and monomer methyl sites, respectively. We have also found similar low-field signals at τ 11.10 and 11.16 when the Me₂Mg solution is cooled rapidly. These signals, which are also observed in the 1:1 mixture of Me₂Mg and methylmagnesium bromide (C), are probably characteristic of distinct bridging sites in associated Me₂Mg species. In the pure Grignard solution, bridging methyl signals are not observed, consistent with our earlier report that halogen is the predominant bridging group in diethyl ether solutions of Grignard compounds.4

The signal of methylmagnesium bromide (B) unlike Me_2Mg is not resolved until -100° . This spectrum exhibits a signal characteristic of Me₂Mg and a lower-field signal which we assign to MeMgBr. In the spectrum of methylmagnesium bromide (B), the relative intensities of the two signals change drastically with temperature and time. These observations are consistent with the disproportionation of MeMgBr to Me₂Mg and the less soluble $MgBr_2$ which we have shown precipitates to some extent with time at lower temperature. This phenomena is also exhibited in the 1:1 mixture (C). At -100° the ratio of Me₂Mg in (C) is greater than MeMgBr (opposite result in B) because of the added Me_2Mg . At -105° the relative ratio of MeMgBr to Me2Mg is even less due to precipitation of MgBr₂.

It was not possible to determine an equilibrium constant for the Schlenk equilibrium under these non-equilibrium conditions since some of the components precipitated from solution. However, it must lie greatly in favour of MeMgBr since the signals for Me₂Mg appear only slowly as MgBr₂ precipitates rather than appearing as an independent signal of substantial intensity. This conclusion is consistent with those drawn earlier by ourselves ${}^{\scriptscriptstyle 5}$ and others ${}^{\scriptscriptstyle 6}$ on the basis of other experiments.

We thank the National Science Foundation for partial support of this work.

(Received, October 13th, 1969; Com. 1543.)

- ¹ R. M. Salinger and H. S. Mosher, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 1782.
 ² D. F. Evans and J. P. Mayer, J. Chem. Soc., 1962, 5125.
 ³ G. Fraenkel, D. Adams, and J. Williams, Tetrahedron Letters, 1963, 767.
 ⁴ Frank W. Walker and E. C. Ashby, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 3845.
 ⁵ E. C. Ashby, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 2509.

- ⁶ M. B. Smith and W. E. Becker, Tetrahedron, 1967, 23, 4215. Ibid, 1966, 22, 3027.